State of Israel





Ministry of Communications & Ministry of Energy

Municipality of TelAviv

Human Exposure considerations in Smart Sustainable Cities 'Israel Smart Cities'; intra-ministerial commission Tel Aviv, 21 January 2015 Presentation by <u>Dr. Haim Mazar (Madjar)</u>

See also ITU-T Focus Group on Smart Sustainable Cities 2014 EMF Considerations in Smart Sustainable Cities

Place of presentation Youth House; Street Maze 9 TelAviv Municipality of TelAviv





101-112 TU



This building served as the TelAviv municipal hospital 1918-1992

Electromagnetic Fields EMF Hyper-Sensitivity; electro-phobia



EMF Technical Report – Overview

- Wireless networks provide vital infrastructure and connection of ICT's that underpin the Smart Sustainable Cities
- The effective design and careful deployment of wireless networks and Short Range Devices (SRDs) are vital to ensuring electromagnetic field (EMF) compliance and maximum efficiency for ICT's





Source: ICNIRP View presented at the ITU <u>Workshop on Human Exposure to Electromagnetic</u> <u>Fields</u>, Turin, 9 May 2013

RADIO COMMUNICATIONS IN THE COMMUNITY



MOBILE NETWORKS CONNECTING THE COMMUNITY



NETWORK STRUCTURE



Source: ITU-T Focus Group on Smart Sustainable Cities 2014 EMF Considerations in Smart Sustainable Cities

H

INFRASTRUCTURE SHARING



CONNECTED CAR SERVICES WI-FI, BLUETOOTH AND MOBILE NETWORKS



ICT's Connecting our world and smart cities; EMF fears in Israel



ICNIRP (<u>1998:511</u>) reference levels for occupational & general public exposure- table7

Frequency range	Electric field strength (V/m)		Equivalent plane wave power density S _{eq} (W/m ²)	
	general public	occupational	general public	Occupational
1-25 Hz	10,000	20,000		
0.025- 0.82 KHz	250/f(KHz)	500/f(KHz)		
0.82 -3 KHz	250/f(KHz)	610		
3-1000 KHz	87	610		
1-10 MHz	87/f ^{1/2} (MHz)	610/f (MHz)		
10-400 MHz	28	61	2	10
400-2000 MHz	1.375f ^{1/2} (MHz)	3f ^{1/2} (MHz)	f/200	f/40
2-300 GHz	61	137	10	50

Israel divides the ICNIRP 1998 reference levels by 10

ICNIRP 1998 p.511 reference levels for occupational & general public exposure- graphs





Typical Sectorial Antenna

X versus Z for a power density_input (uW/cm2)



אנטנה מסוג 739686, עבור תדר 850 מגא-הרץ, בשימוש במתקנים רגילים Source: Ministry of protection of environment Field Strength (dBµV/m) vs. distance (m), co-located site TV, IMT 850 & Point 2 Point



Exposure (dbU)



Power density vs. horizontal distance at co-located site near-field & far-field 1.8 1.6 BSant_downtilt_0° [mW/m2] 1.4 BSant_downtilt_10° [W/m2] 1.2 1 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 0 450 500 see where is the max exposure

calculated by author

EMF Checklist; EMF Compliance; ICT Design; EMF Information

- 1. EMF compliance framework will protect the general public and workers from adverse effects of EMF
- 2. ICT devices meet <u>ICNIRP RF-EMF</u> exposure guidelines
- 3. Wireless networks meet ICNIRP RF-EMF exposure guidelines
- 4. Document RF-EMF compliance
- 5. Base station antennas are selected to suit the ICT network requirements
- 6. Wireless network antennas are located in close proximity to the ICT devices
- 7. Planning legislation incorporates ICT networks and antenna requirements
- 8. EMF ICT compliance information is available
- 9. General EMF information is available to the community
- 10. Existence of wireless network information programme

Mitigation techniques to decrease the radiation level (1)

Author's views

- Avoid wireless communications if the transmitter & receiver stations are fixed
 - Avoid WiFi routers based on cellular infrastructure
 - Prefer Satellite and Cable TV's delivery
- Maximize sharing, including active frequencies sharing among cellular operators
- Provide wider spectral allocation to the RF to operators in order to decrease the number of sites

Mitigation techniques to decrease the radiation level (2)

- Restrict access to areas where the exposure limits are exceeded. Physical barriers, lockout procedures and adequate signs are essential; workers can use protective clothing (ITU-T 2004 <u>K.52</u> p.19)
- Increase the antenna height. The distances to all points of investigation are increased and the radiation level is reduced. Moreover, additional attenuation to the radiation is achieved due to the increase of elevation angle and decrease of transmitting antenna sidelobe (ITU-T 2007 <u>K.70</u> p.22)
- Increase the antenna gain (mainly by reducing the elevation beam width), and consequently decrease the radiation in the direction accessible to people. The vertical beam width may be used to reduce the radiation level close to the antenna. Moreover, the same value of the EIRP can be achieved by a low power transmitter feeding high gain antenna or by high power transmitter feeding low gain antenna. As far as the protection against radiation is concerned, a much better choice is to use the low power transmitter feeding the high gain antenna. (ITU-T 2007 K.70 p.22)
- Minimize exposure to the min. needed to maintain the quality of the service, as quality criterion. Decrease the Tx power & consequently decrease linearly the power density in all the observation points. As it reduces the coverage area, it is used only if other methods cannot be applied (2007 <u>K.70</u> p.22)

Thanks to Mike Wood & Jack Rowley ITU-T EMF Technical Report leaders

Relevant files are found at the web:

- <u>http://emfguide.itu.int/</u>
- Academic Course Advanced Wireless Communications
 Mazar3 Regulation EMC HumanHazards 2015.pdf
- International, regional and national regulation of SRDs
- ITU Workshop on Short Range Devices Geneva 3 June 2014
- <u>RF Technical limits of Human</u>
 <u>Exposure HIT IEEE Mazar 30Jan14.pdf</u>
- <u>Author's PhD Thesis</u>
- <u>Mazar's Book</u>

You are welcome to visit my website <u>http://mazar.atwebpages.com/</u> Dr. Haim Mazar (Madjar)

<u>mazar@ties.itu.int</u> +972506236222

