Jerusalem, 9 September 2009

Environment and Health Fund

Wireless Communication: Health, Science and PolicyMoC Perspective

Dr. Haim Mazar, Ministry of Communications

mazarh@moc.gov.il and mazar@ties.itu.int

Importance of Wireless Communications

- 1. The wireless e-Communications are a networked service of general economic interest (similar to transport, gas and electricity).
- 2. Mobile communications contribute directly to safety of life: police, ambulances/paramedics and fire brigade use it intensively.
- Technological advances, innovation, penetration of new technologies, economic and military power are directly connected to wireless policy and regulation.
- 4. The radio frequencies serve as a lever to improve the economic and social conditions of the society.

Cellular Base Stations are Essential

- 1. Land Mobile communications need base stations.
- 2. The main RF hazards are generated by the handsets, that are closer to our body.
- More base stations, less emission from the handsets.
- 4. A new cellular operator is needed, to improve wireless services and to reduce prices. Base stations ruling might consist a high penetration barrier to competition.
- Main power density exposure is contributed by broadcasting; main societal and risk concerns relate to cellular.

Inter Ministries

- MoC will never accept harm to public health; the responsibility of Ministry of Health.
- 2. MoC accepts the primacy of the Ministry of the Environment on ruling the RF hazards.
- 3. An urgent need to balance among interests, and truthful communication among stakeholders.
- 4. We would like to get from this scientific meeting some objective guidelines of international experts for siting base stations- to differentiate schools? Hospitals?

With whom to Identify

- It is impossible to scientifically prove absolute safety, the null hypothesis (IEEE 2006 Standard C95.1-2005 p.79); it is impossible to prove the negative, the VOID (zero group) see http://www.moc.gov.il/new/documents/frequences/MazarThesisOct08.pdf p. 24.
- 2. After so many studies and 4,5 billion connections there is no evidence that the ICNIRP (and EU) thresholds are harmful.
- 3. The US and Japan are liberal in regulating the human hazards: 4/3 of ICNIRP values.
- 4. Scandinavian countries found the right balance between the opposed interests: human hazards versus new technologies.

Three Present Issues

- Ministry of Interior's TAMA 36 (National Master Plan): the plan should balance the needs of municipalities, citizens and RF operators.
- Ministry of the Environment ruling (Takanot) should not avoid antennas on roof terrace.
- 3. Small Wireless Access Devices (Maga) shouldn't be regulated by the Ministry of Interior.

Thanks 4 lis10ing ©